

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/663,822 | 09/17/2003 | Hirohmi Harada | 242529US3 | 4038 |
| 22850 7: | 590 12/28/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | BEATTY, ROBERT B | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 2052 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Ol | | | |
|---|--|---|---------|--|--|--|
| | 10/663,822 | HARADA ET AL. | (A) | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Robert Beatty | 2852 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence addres | s | | | |
| Period for Reply | | ONTLICE OF THEFTY (20) F | AVC | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 2.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON stute, cause the application to become AB | CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this commun | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 | 3 October 2005. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-124</u> is/are pending in the applica | ition. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | Irawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>86-118 and 122-124</u> is/are allowed | I. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-2,21,25-29,48,52-57,76,80-84,11</u> | <u>19-121</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>3-20,22-24,30-47,49-51,58-75,77-</u> | - | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | accepted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | he drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | ection is required if the drawing(| (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. | 121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | I Office Action or form PTO-19 | 52. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in A | pplication No | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the p | riority documents have been | received in this National Stag | je | | | |
| application from the International Bur | , | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a I | ist of the certified copies not | received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 2), Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | 08) 5) 🔲 Notice of In | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) |) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) 🔲 Other: | <u>_</u> . | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/663,822 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2,21,26,28-29,48,53,55-57,76,81,83-84,119-121 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dycher.

Dycher teach an image forming apparatus having a process cartridge C which included a photosensitive drum 10 and a process cartridge frame member (no reference numeral) supporting the photosensitive drum. The process cartridge is slid out of the image forming apparatus as shown in a direction perpendicular to a sheet feed direction. A shutter 23 covers the exposed part of the photosensitive drum when the process cartridge is removed from the image forming apparatus.. The shutter moves in substantially a perpendicular direction to the direction of removal/insertion of the process cartridge. The shutter can be made from a molded resin which has at least some conductivity.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2852

2. Claims 25,27,52,54,80,82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dycher. in view of Kubota et al.

Dycher. taught supra discloses most of what is claimed except the tip of the shutter being rounded and the process cartridge being made from a molded plastic material (shutter and process cartridge are made from the same material). Kubota et al. teach an image forming apparatus having a process cartridge U which is detachable from the image forming apparatus. The process cartridge has a shutter 26 having a rounded end. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the end of the shutter rounded as shown in Kubota et al. because there would be less likelihood of the photosensitive drum being scratched with a rounded end. It further would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the process cartridge from a molded plastic because this is very well known in the art for the purpose of easy manufacture of which the examiner takes Official Notice.

- 3. Claims 3-20,22-24,30-47,49-51,58-75,77-79,85 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 86-118,122-124 appear to be allowable over the prior art of record.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is (571) 272-2130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley, can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Art Unit: 2852

is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Robert Beatty

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2852

December 23, 2005